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In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, the naphthyl unit is planar and the benzopyran unit is nearly planar. These two moieties are inclined at an angle of $9.10(6)^{\circ}$ with respect to one another.

## Comment

Many derivatives of benzopyrans are found to possess medicinal and biological activities (Malhotra et al., 1997). In order to study the activity of the title compound, (I), an X-ray structure analysis was undertaken. The naphthyl unit is planar with a maximum deviation of -0.037 (2) $\AA$ for the C 21 atom. The benzopyran unit is nearly planar; torsion angles: $\mathrm{O} 1-$ C2-C3-C4-8.9 (3), C2-C3-C4-C10 7.8 (3), C3-C4-C10-C9-1.1 (3), C4-C10-C9-O1-4.5 (3), C10-C9$\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-3.4$ (3) and $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 33.0(3)^{\circ}$. The dihedral angle between the benzopyran and naphthyl rings is $9.10(6)^{\circ}$. As a result, there is conjugation which is evident from the alternate single and double bonds: $\mathrm{O} 10=\mathrm{C} 21.202$ (3), $\mathrm{C} 2-$ C3 1.456 (3), C3=C4 1.370 (3), C4-C14 1.464 (3), C14- C15 1.333 (3), C15-C23 1.464 (3), C23= 221.367 (3), C22-C21 1.411 (3), C21 = C 201.357 (4) and C20-C25 1.400 (4) Å. No unusual bond lengths or angles were observed (Allen et al., 1987).

(I)

## Experimental

A mixture of 3-cyano-4,6-dimethyl-1-benzopyran-2-one ( 0.01 mol ) and 1-naphthaldehyde ( 0.01 mol ) was dissolved in chloroform
$(140 \mathrm{ml})$ and a few drops of piperidine were added as a catalyst. The mixture was heated with stirring for $13-14 \mathrm{~h}$. After evaporation, the solid residue obtained was recrystallized from dimethylformamide to give dark-yellow crystals (m.p. 514 K ; yield $49 \%$ ).

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$
$M_{r}=337.36$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=7.814$ (5) $\AA$ 。
$b=11.561$ (3) $\AA$
$c=18.580$ (7) $\AA$
$\beta=101.96$ (4) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1642.0(13) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{x}=1.365 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo K } \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \text { Cell parameters from } 25 \\
& \text { reflections } \\
& \theta=2-25^{\circ} \\
& \mu=0.087 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=293(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Rectangular, dark yellow } \\
& 0.15 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer
$\omega-2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan (North et al., 1968)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.974, T_{\text {max }}=0.994$
3103 measured reflections
2878 independent reflections
2095 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {int }}=0.024 \\
& \theta_{\max }=25^{\circ} \\
& h=0 \rightarrow 9 \\
& k=0 \rightarrow 13 \\
& l=-22 \rightarrow 21 \\
& 3 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \quad \text { every } 100 \text { reflections } \\
& \quad \text { frequency: } 150 \text { min } \\
& \quad \text { intensity decay: none }
\end{aligned}
$$

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.042$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.144$
$S=0.831$
2878 reflections
238 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w= 1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0935 P)^{2}\right. \\
&+0.9948 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.003 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.17 \mathrm{e}^{2} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.19 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ ).

| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.352(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $1.374(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.378(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.370(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.397(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.456(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.399(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 10-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.202(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.446(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 12$ | $1.139(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $-177.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $3.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $3.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $-8.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $-2.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $-178.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $-4.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $-1.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $-1.1(3)$ |  |  |

The title structure was solved by DIRDIF methods taking naphthalene coordinates from DIRDIF ORBASE (DIRDIF96; Beurskens et al., 1996). All H atoms were located from difference Fourier maps and were included in the structure-factor calculations with isotropic displacement parameters equal to $1.1 U_{\text {eq }}$ of the carrier atom, but the parameters were not refined (Sheldrick, 1997). The geometrical calculations were performed using PARST (Nardelli, 1996).

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: MolEN (Fair, 1990); data reduction: MolEN; program(s) used to solve structure: DIRDIF96 (Beurskens et al., 1996); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

One of the authors wishes to thank Dr Babu Vergheese for the data collection carried out at IIT, Chennai, India.
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